IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 5366 of 2005

Between:

M.K. Rahman S/o Sri M.A. Rahman
r/o Gujaratipeta, Post Office Street, Srikakulam

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 APSRTC., rep by Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad
2 APSRTC., Srikakulam Region Srikakulam rep by Regional manager
3 The Divisional Manager, APSRTC, Srikakulam Division, srikakulam
4 The Depot Manager, APSRTC Palasa Depot, Palasa, Srikakulam District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order direction or Writ particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, declaring the removal order vide proceedings No. !/2 (15)/2000-PLS dated 15-10-2001 as confirmed by the Appellate Authority vide proceedings No. Steno/19/(12/(2001-Med: RM/Sklm dated 23-6-2001 as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service with all consequential benefits and pass such other order or orders in the interest of justice

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: KUM.B.G.UMA DEVI

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Challenging the order vide proceedings No.1/2 (15)/2000-PLS dated 15-01-2001 as confirmed by the Appellate Authority in proceedings No.Steno/19 (12)/2001-MED:RM/SKLM dated 28-4-2001 and Revisional Authority vide proceedings No.Peshi/19 (48) 2001-RM/SKLM dated 23-6-2001 as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner worked as Grade-I employee in the respondent-corporation. Thereafter, he had been imposed a major penalty of removal from service. To that effect, he made a representation to the 2nd respondent on 25-1-2005 and the same is pending before him.

The 2nd respondent is the competent authority to take appropriate decision. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, the 2nd respondent is directed to take appropriate decision on the representation dated 25-1-2005 made by the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

17-03-2005

rkk

To

1 APSRTC., rep by Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad
2 APSRTC., Srikakulam Region Srikakulam rep by Regional manager
3 The Divisional Manager, APSRTC, Srikakulam Division, srikakulam
4 The Depot Manager, APSRTC Palasa Depot, Palasa, Srikakulam District

5. Two CD copies.